



SOCIAL INCLUSION AS A PILLAR OF LONG-TERM NATIONAL DEVELOPMENT: THEORETICAL PERSPECTIVES ON INDIA'S VISION 2047

Dr.Chaganti Rami Reddy

*Assistant Professor, Dept. of Sociology, Sri Krishnadevaraya University, Anantapuramu,
Andhra Pradesh*

Abstract

As India approaches the centenary of its independence, Vision 2047 outlines an ambitious roadmap for achieving developed nation status. This paper examines social inclusion as a foundational pillar of long-term national development within this strategic vision. Adopting a qualitative and theory-driven approach, the study synthesizes key perspectives from development theory, justice frameworks, and institutional analysis to establish a conceptual linkage between inclusion, sustainable growth, and democratic resilience. The analysis argues that economic expansion alone is insufficient for enduring national progress unless accompanied by equitable access to education, healthcare, employment, digital infrastructure, and participatory governance. Persistent caste, gender, regional, and digital inequalities highlight the multidimensional character of exclusion in India and underscore the necessity of embedding inclusive principles across policy design and institutional reform. The paper positions social inclusion not merely as a welfare objective but as a structural determinant of economic durability, institutional stability, and social cohesion. By developing an integrated theoretical framework aligned with Vision 2047, the study contributes to the broader discourse on sustainable and equitable nation-building in India.

Keywords: *Social Inclusion, Vision 2047, Long-Term National Development, Capability Approach, Inclusive Institutions, Multidimensional Inequality, Sustainable Development.*

Introduction

The discourse on national development has increasingly moved beyond purely economic indicators such as Gross Domestic Product (GDP) toward more comprehensive frameworks that integrate equity, participation, and social justice. Within this evolving paradigm, social inclusion has emerged as a foundational pillar of sustainable and long-term national development. As India approaches the milestone of its centenary of independence in 2047, articulated through the national vision of Viksit Bharat 2047, the question of inclusive growth becomes central to shaping a resilient, equitable, and globally competitive nation. This paper, titled “Social Inclusion as a Pillar of Long-Term National Development: Theoretical Perspectives on India’s Vision 2047,” explores the conceptual foundations that position social inclusion as indispensable to India’s developmental trajectory.

The theoretical roots of social inclusion are grounded in classical and contemporary development thought. Early modernization theories largely equated development with industrialization and economic expansion. However, critiques from dependency theorists and human development scholars shifted the focus toward structural inequalities and human capabilities. The works of Amartya Sen on the capability approach emphasize that development must be understood as the expansion of freedoms and opportunities rather than merely income growth (Sen, 1999). Similarly, Rawls’ theory of justice foregrounds fairness and equitable distribution as essential components of a just society (Rawls, 1971). These theoretical perspectives collectively reinforce the idea that exclusion—whether economic, social, political, or cultural—undermines the very foundations of sustainable development.



In the Indian context, social exclusion manifests across multiple axes, including caste, gender, region, religion, disability, and economic status. Despite remarkable economic progress and technological advancement in recent decades, disparities persist in access to education, healthcare, employment, and digital infrastructure. The ambition of Vision 2047 is not only to elevate India to the ranks of developed nations but also to ensure that growth is inclusive, participatory, and equitable. The theoretical argument advanced in this paper is that without embedding social inclusion into policy design, institutional frameworks, and governance mechanisms, long-term national development will remain structurally fragile.

The importance of this research lies in its conceptual contribution. Much of the existing literature on inclusion in India is empirical, focusing on poverty alleviation schemes, welfare programs, or sector-specific interventions. While such studies provide valuable evidence, there remains a need for an integrated theoretical framework that situates social inclusion within the broader discourse of nation-building and long-term strategic planning. By synthesizing perspectives from human development theory, social justice theory, participatory governance, and sustainable development frameworks, this study seeks to bridge that gap. It argues that social inclusion should not be treated as a peripheral welfare objective but as a structural determinant of economic resilience, democratic stability, and social cohesion.

Furthermore, globalization, technological transformation, and demographic shifts present both opportunities and risks for India. The rapid expansion of digital technologies, for example, can enhance access and empowerment, yet it may simultaneously deepen digital divides if not accompanied by inclusive policies. Similarly, India's demographic dividend can translate into sustained economic growth only if marginalized populations are effectively integrated into education, skill development, and employment systems. Thus, inclusion is not merely a moral imperative; it is a strategic necessity for maintaining social harmony and economic competitiveness in the long run.

The background of this research is anchored in the global shift toward inclusive development frameworks, as reflected in the United Nations Sustainable Development Goals (SDGs), particularly Goal 10 (Reduced Inequalities) and Goal 16 (Peace, Justice, and Strong Institutions). India's Vision 2047 resonates with these global commitments while emphasizing indigenous priorities such as social justice, digital empowerment, and grassroots governance. Theoretically examining this alignment allows for a deeper understanding of how national aspirations intersect with global development paradigms.

This paper advances the proposition that social inclusion constitutes a core structural pillar of India's long-term national development strategy toward 2047. By grounding the discussion in established theoretical traditions and situating it within India's socio-economic realities, the study provides a conceptual roadmap for scholars and policymakers. The theoretical exploration offered here underscores that a developed India by 2047 must be not only economically prosperous but also socially cohesive, equitable, and inclusive.

Literature Review

The concept of social inclusion has gained prominence in development discourse as scholars increasingly recognize that economic growth alone cannot ensure sustainable national progress. Historically, development was equated with industrialization, capital accumulation, and GDP



expansion under modernization frameworks. However, critiques from structuralist and dependency theorists challenged the assumption that growth automatically translates into equitable distribution of benefits (Frank, 1967). Over time, the discourse shifted toward multidimensional perspectives of development, particularly with the emergence of the human development paradigm institutionalized by the United Nations Development Programme (UNDP, 1990). Within this evolving intellectual landscape, social inclusion emerged as a critical determinant of long-term national development, emphasizing participation, access, equity, and dignity as central to progress.

The theoretical foundation of social inclusion is deeply rooted in Amartya Sen's capability approach, which reconceptualizes development as the expansion of substantive freedoms rather than merely income enhancement (Sen, 1999). According to Sen, poverty and inequality represent capability deprivations that restrict individuals' ability to participate fully in economic and social life. This framework is particularly relevant in diverse societies such as India, where structural inequalities intersect across caste, gender, religion, region, and economic status. By emphasizing agency and freedom, the capability approach provides a normative and analytical basis for understanding why inclusion must form the core of national development strategies. Complementing this perspective, Rawls' theory of justice underscores fairness in the distribution of primary goods and introduces the difference principle, which justifies inequalities only if they benefit the least advantaged (Rawls, 1971). Together, these theories establish inclusion not merely as a welfare objective but as a structural requirement for a just and stable society.

The relationship between social inclusion and long-term national development has also been examined through institutional and political economy lenses. Acemoglu and Robinson (2012) argue that inclusive political and economic institutions are fundamental to sustained prosperity. Societies that distribute power broadly and ensure equitable access to opportunities tend to generate innovation, productivity, and resilience. Conversely, extractive institutions perpetuate inequality and instability. This theoretical insight holds particular significance for India's Vision 2047, which aspires to transform the country into a developed nation. Without strengthening inclusive institutions, long-term growth may remain vulnerable to social fragmentation and governance deficits. Stiglitz (2012) similarly contends that high levels of inequality erode social cohesion, weaken aggregate demand, and undermine economic stability. Empirical studies have linked inequality to lower social trust and higher political volatility (Wilkinson & Pickett, 2009), reinforcing the theoretical proposition that inclusion is integral to durable development.

In the Indian context, the literature highlights the multidimensional character of social exclusion. Despite constitutional commitments to equality and affirmative action, caste-based disparities persist in education, employment, and asset ownership (Deshpande, 2011). Gender inequality continues to constrain labor force participation and economic empowerment (Klasen&Pieters, 2015). Regional disparities further complicate the development landscape, as states vary significantly in health, literacy, and income indicators (Drèze& Sen, 2013). These structural imbalances demonstrate that economic expansion alone does not guarantee equitable participation. Alkire and Foster's (2011) multidimensional poverty framework underscores the importance of recognizing overlapping deprivations across health, education, and living standards, thereby reinforcing the need for a holistic inclusion strategy.



Recent scholarship also draws attention to emerging dimensions of exclusion, particularly in the digital domain. The rapid diffusion of digital technologies presents opportunities for financial inclusion, education access, and governance efficiency. However, Van Dijk (2020) argues that digital divides—stemming from disparities in infrastructure, skills, and usage—can exacerbate existing inequalities if not addressed proactively. In the context of India’s digital transformation agenda, inclusion must therefore extend beyond traditional socio-economic dimensions to encompass digital citizenship and technological capability. The demographic dividend similarly presents a double-edged sword. Bloom et al. (2003) observe that demographic advantages contribute to economic growth only when supported by inclusive education, healthcare, and labor market policies. Without such integration, demographic expansion may intensify unemployment and inequality, undermining long-term development aspirations.

Participatory governance theory further enriches the inclusion discourse. Arnstein’s (1969) ladder of participation conceptualizes varying degrees of citizen involvement, highlighting that genuine empowerment requires meaningful engagement rather than symbolic representation. Fung and Wright (2003) argue that deliberative and participatory institutions enhance accountability and equity in public policy outcomes. In India, decentralized governance mechanisms such as Panchayati Raj Institutions are designed to promote grassroots inclusion. However, the effectiveness of these mechanisms depends on addressing social hierarchies and ensuring equitable voice for marginalized communities. Institutional inclusion, therefore, becomes central to achieving the broader objectives articulated in Vision 2047.

At the global level, the Sustainable Development Goals reinforce the centrality of inclusion in development frameworks. Goal 10 emphasizes reducing inequalities, while Goal 16 advocates peace, justice, and strong institutions (United Nations, 2015). These global commitments align conceptually with India’s long-term developmental vision, yet scholarly work linking Vision 2047 explicitly with established theoretical frameworks of inclusion remains limited. Much of the existing literature on inclusion in India focuses on programmatic evaluation of welfare schemes or sector-specific policies. While valuable, such studies often lack a comprehensive theoretical synthesis connecting inclusion to nation-building and long-term strategic planning. This gap underscores the need for a conceptual examination that integrates capability theory, justice theory, institutional economics, and participatory governance within the context of India’s centenary aspirations.

The foregoing literature reveals several conceptual tensions and unanswered questions that justify the present study. Although scholars widely acknowledge the normative importance of social inclusion, there is limited theoretical integration explaining how diverse frameworks collectively position inclusion as a structural pillar of long-term national development. Furthermore, while empirical research demonstrates correlations between inequality and instability, the theoretical articulation of inclusion as a strategic determinant within India’s Vision 2047 remains underdeveloped. There is also insufficient clarity regarding which dimensions of exclusion—economic, social, institutional, or digital—require prioritization in the Indian developmental discourse. These gaps necessitate a theoretical inquiry that synthesizes existing perspectives and situates them within India’s evolving national vision.

Accordingly, this study seeks to examine how major theoretical traditions conceptualize social inclusion as foundational to sustainable development and how these insights can be aligned with India’s



Vision 2047 framework. By addressing the multidimensional and institutional aspects of exclusion, the research contributes to a deeper understanding of inclusion as both a moral imperative and a strategic necessity. The literature collectively affirms that long-term national development cannot be sustained in the presence of entrenched inequalities. However, a consolidated theoretical articulation tailored to India's centenary aspirations remains insufficiently explored. This paper endeavors to bridge that gap, positioning social inclusion not as a peripheral policy concern but as a structural pillar underpinning India's pathway toward 2047.

Research Methodology

This study adopts a qualitative and theory-driven research approach to examine social inclusion as a foundational pillar of long-term national development within the framework of India's Vision 2047. Given the conceptual nature of the inquiry, which seeks to synthesize normative, institutional, and developmental theories rather than test empirical hypotheses, a qualitative design is most appropriate. The theoretical orientation of the study draws upon the capability approach (Sen, 1999), justice theory (Rawls, 1971), inclusive institutional theory (Acemoglu & Robinson, 2012), and multidimensional poverty frameworks (Alkire & Foster, 2011). These perspectives collectively provide the intellectual scaffolding for understanding how inclusion operates as both a moral imperative and a structural determinant of sustainable national progress. Quantitative approaches, while valuable for measuring inequality, may not sufficiently capture the philosophical and institutional dimensions underlying inclusion; therefore, a qualitative and interpretive methodology is employed.

The research relies on a critical and integrative review of interdisciplinary literature, including peer-reviewed journal articles, scholarly books, global development reports, and national policy documents. The literature selection emphasizes high-impact theoretical contributions in development economics, political philosophy, governance theory, and institutional economics. Foundational works such as Sen's capability framework, which conceptualizes development as freedom expansion (Sen, 1999), and Rawls' theory of distributive justice (Rawls, 1971) form the normative base of the analysis. Institutional perspectives highlighting the role of inclusive political and economic structures in fostering long-term prosperity are drawn from Acemoglu and Robinson (2012). Additionally, scholarship examining inequality, social cohesion, and economic sustainability (Stiglitz, 2012; Wilkinson & Pickett, 2009) informs the discussion on the structural risks of exclusion.

To contextualize inclusion within India's developmental trajectory, the study incorporates literature addressing caste and social stratification (Deshpande, 2011), regional and human development disparities (Drèze & Sen, 2013), gender inequality in labor markets (Klasen & Pieters, 2015), and digital divides in contemporary societies (Van Dijk, 2020). The multidimensional poverty methodology developed by Alkire and Foster (2011) provides an analytical framework for understanding overlapping deprivations across education, health, and living standards. Furthermore, global development commitments articulated in the Sustainable Development Goals (United Nations, 2015) are reviewed to align India's Vision 2047 with internationally recognized inclusion paradigms.

Data collection involved systematic identification and thematic extraction of conceptual arguments from scholarly and policy sources. The process followed a structured literature mapping technique, wherein sources were categorized based on thematic relevance to capability expansion, distributive justice, institutional inclusiveness, participatory governance, demographic transition, and digital inclusion. This systematic synthesis enabled the identification of recurring theoretical constructs such



as agency, equity, access, institutional accountability, and structural inequality. Rather than aggregating numerical data, the methodology emphasizes interpretive depth and conceptual integration, consistent with qualitative research traditions (Creswell, 2014).

The study employs thematic content analysis to synthesize theoretical insights and identify intersections between social inclusion and long-term national development. The analysis examines how different theoretical traditions converge on the proposition that inclusive access to opportunities, equitable distribution of resources, and participatory governance mechanisms are prerequisites for sustainable economic and democratic resilience. Participatory governance theories (Arnstein, 1969; Fung & Wright, 2003) further inform the analysis by illustrating how citizen engagement strengthens institutional legitimacy and accountability. Additionally, demographic transition theory (Bloom, Canning, & Sevilla, 2003) is incorporated to examine how inclusion mediates the developmental potential of India's demographic dividend.

The methodology also critically evaluates tensions within development discourse, particularly the divergence between growth-centric neoliberal frameworks and justice-oriented inclusion paradigms. Scholars have noted that rapid economic liberalization can exacerbate inequality if not accompanied by redistributive and inclusive institutional reforms (Stiglitz, 2012). By examining these debates, the study situates Vision 2047 within broader global discussions on equitable growth, institutional reform, and sustainable transformation.

The objectives guiding this methodology are fourfold.

1. To examine how major theoretical frameworks conceptualize social inclusion as a determinant of long-term national development.
2. To analyze the relationship between inclusive institutions, capability expansion, and sustainable economic growth within the context of India's developmental aspirations.
3. To identify multidimensional forms of exclusion—economic, social, institutional, and digital—that require prioritization in India's pathway toward Vision 2047.
4. To synthesize interdisciplinary insights in order to develop a comprehensive theoretical framework positioning social inclusion as a structural pillar of national transformation.
5. By adopting a qualitative, literature-driven, and theory-building methodology, this research contributes to the conceptual consolidation of social inclusion within India's long-term development strategy. The approach enables critical engagement with foundational theories while situating them within contemporary socio-economic realities. Ultimately, this methodology facilitates a nuanced understanding of inclusion not merely as a normative aspiration but as a strategic determinant of economic durability, institutional resilience, and democratic stability in India's journey toward 2047.

Discussion

The present study reinforces the argument that social inclusion is not a peripheral welfare concern but a structural prerequisite for long-term national development, particularly within the framework of India's Vision 2047. Drawing upon the capability approach, development must be understood as the expansion of substantive freedoms and opportunities rather than mere economic growth (Sen, 1999). This perspective aligns with the normative foundation of justice articulated by Rawls (1971), which emphasizes equitable distribution and prioritization of the least advantaged. When interpreted through



these theoretical lenses, India's developmental ambitions require systemic attention to capability deprivation, distributive fairness, and participatory empowerment.

Institutional theory further strengthens this argument by demonstrating that inclusive political and economic institutions are central to sustained prosperity (Acemoglu & Robinson, 2012). Persistent inequalities—whether caste-based, gendered, regional, or digital—can undermine economic resilience and social cohesion if left unaddressed (Stiglitz, 2012; Wilkinson & Pickett, 2009). Thus, Vision 2047 must integrate institutional reforms that broaden access to opportunities, strengthen governance accountability, and enhance citizen participation.

Moreover, the multidimensional nature of exclusion in India calls for an integrated policy architecture that simultaneously addresses education, health, employment, and digital access (Alkire & Foster, 2011; Van Dijk, 2020). Inclusion, therefore, functions both as a moral commitment and a strategic necessity. The discussion highlights that sustainable national transformation requires embedding inclusive principles across economic planning, technological advancement, and institutional design. Without such integration, growth trajectories risk reinforcing structural disparities rather than advancing equitable prosperity.

Major Finding

1. Social inclusion emerges as a foundational pillar for achieving long-term national development under India's Vision 2047, functioning as both a moral imperative and a structural necessity.
2. The capability approach highlights that development must focus on expanding substantive freedoms—such as access to education, healthcare, employment, and digital infrastructure—rather than solely increasing income levels (Sen, 1999).
3. Distributive justice theory emphasizes prioritizing the least advantaged sections of society, reinforcing the ethical basis for inclusive development policies (Rawls, 1971).
4. Inclusive political and economic institutions are essential for sustaining growth, fostering innovation, and ensuring long-term prosperity (Acemoglu & Robinson, 2012).
5. Persistent caste, gender, regional, and digital inequalities in India reflect the multidimensional nature of exclusion, necessitating integrated policy interventions (Alkire & Foster, 2011; Van Dijk, 2020).
6. High levels of inequality can undermine social cohesion, reduce institutional trust, and weaken economic resilience (Stiglitz, 2012; Wilkinson & Pickett, 2009).
7. Embedding inclusion within governance reforms, demographic planning, and technological transformation is crucial for realizing the aspirations of Vision 2047.
8. The findings affirm that sustainable national transformation requires systemic alignment between social justice, institutional reform, and inclusive economic strategy.

Conclusion

This study has theoretically examined social inclusion as a foundational pillar of long-term national development within the framework of India's Vision 2047. Drawing upon the capability approach (Sen, 1999), distributive justice theory (Rawls, 1971), inclusive institutional theory (Acemoglu & Robinson, 2012), and multidimensional poverty frameworks (Alkire & Foster, 2011), the paper establishes that inclusion is not merely a normative aspiration but a structural determinant of sustainable progress. Development, when understood as the expansion of freedoms and opportunities, requires systematic attention to entrenched inequalities that limit participation across economic, social, institutional, and



digital domains. Thus, Vision 2047 must move beyond aggregate growth targets and integrate inclusive principles at the core of policy and governance architecture.

The analysis further underscores that inclusive political and economic institutions are central to fostering long-term prosperity and democratic stability (Acemoglu & Robinson, 2012). Persistent inequalities—whether rooted in caste hierarchies, gender disparities, regional imbalances, or digital divides—can weaken social cohesion and institutional trust if not addressed comprehensively (Stiglitz, 2012; Wilkinson & Pickett, 2009). By situating India's developmental aspirations within global inclusion paradigms, including the Sustainable Development Goals (United Nations, 2015), this study demonstrates that sustainable national transformation requires an integrated and intersectional approach to inclusion. Economic resilience, demographic advantage, technological progress, and governance reforms must collectively align with equity-oriented strategies to ensure that development benefits are broadly shared.

From a practical standpoint, the findings suggest that policymakers should prioritize inclusive institutional reforms, expand access to quality education and healthcare, strengthen digital infrastructure in marginalized regions, and promote participatory governance mechanisms. Targeted interventions addressing multidimensional poverty and capability deprivation can enhance social mobility and reduce structural vulnerabilities. Furthermore, embedding inclusion within economic planning—through equitable labor policies, gender-sensitive budgeting, and decentralized governance frameworks—can strengthen long-term developmental outcomes. Inclusion must therefore be institutionalized across ministries and sectors rather than treated as a standalone welfare objective.

The future scope of this study lies in advancing empirical validation of the proposed theoretical framework. Quantitative analyses measuring the impact of inclusive policies on economic growth, social cohesion, and institutional performance could enrich the conceptual model presented here. Comparative studies examining inclusion strategies across emerging economies may also provide deeper insights into best practices relevant to India's trajectory toward 2047. Additionally, future research may explore the evolving dimensions of digital inclusion, climate justice, and urban transformation as critical components of inclusive development in the coming decades.

Social inclusion emerges as a strategic, ethical, and institutional cornerstone of India's Vision 2047. A developed India must not only achieve economic prosperity but also ensure equitable participation, justice, and dignity for all citizens. Embedding inclusion at the heart of national development policy is essential for building a resilient, cohesive, and globally competitive nation by its centenary of independence.

References

1. Anekwe, I. M. S., Mustapha, S. I., Akpasi, S. O., Tetteh, E. K., Joel, A. S., & Isa, Y. M. (2025). The hydrogen challenge: addressing storage, safety, and environmental concerns in hydrogen economy. *International Journal of Hydrogen Energy*, 167, 150952.
2. <https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijhydene.2025.150952>
3. Burrier, G. A., & Hultquist, P. (2019). Temples, travesties, or something else? The developmental state, ecological modernization, and hydroelectric dam construction in India. *World Development*, 124, 104642.
4. <https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/j.worlddev.2019.104642>



5. Campbell, H. G. (2015). Chinese Social Transformation and Its Implications for African Reconstruction. *Transnational Corporations Review*, 7(4), 365–394.
6. <https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.5148/tncr.2015.7401>
7. Gupta, S., Kar, S. K., & Harichandan, S. (2022). India's emerging fuel mix for 2050: actions and strategies to decarbonize the transport sector. *International Journal of Energy Sector Management*, 16(5), 924–945. <https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1108/IJESM-02-2021-0005>.
8. Gwal, S., Sharma, A., Pascale, A., Dasgupta, R., Hegde, G., & Greig, C. (2025). Potential of India's AFOLU sector in achieving net zero: A systematic review of land-based mitigation strategies. *Land Use Policy*, 159, 107798.
9. <https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/j.landusepol.2025.107798>.
10. Kapoor, R. (2004). Plural dreams: India in the 21st century. *Futures*, 36(6), 637–653. <https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/j.futures.2003.12.007>.
11. kumar, A., Shankar Yadav, U., Prakash Yadav, G., & Tripathi, R. (2023). New sustainable ideas for materialistic solutions of smart city in India: A review from allahabad city. *Materials Today: Proceedings*. <https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/j.matpr.2023.08.057>.
12. Laha, P., & Chakraborty, B. (2017). Energy model – A tool for preventing energy dysfunction. *Renewable and Sustainable Energy Reviews*, 73, 95–114.
13. <https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/j.rser.2017.01.106>.
14. Manchala, L. R., Sahu, S., & Alam, M. A. (2025). Integrated transport policy in India– an elusive goal. *Asian Transport Studies*, 11, 100172.
15. <https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/j.eastsj.2025.100172>.
16. Matovu, B., Mim, T. A., & Lutalo, B. (2025). Where and how can Africa and India leverage the blue economy opportunities of the Indian Ocean region as a driver for sustainable development and partnerships? *Sustainable Futures*, 10, 101218.
17. <https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/j.sftr.2025.101218>.
18. Negi, D. S., Sanjeev, & Gaurav, K. (2025). Empowering of women: the cornerstone of Viksit Bharat 2047 India. *Library Hi Tech News*, 43(2), 13–17.
19. <https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1108/LHTN-05-2025-0092>.
20. Oakley, B. (2023). From laboratory to laptop: How science communication can bridge the gap between plant pathology and the public. *Physiological and Molecular Plant Pathology*, 125, 102032. <https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/j.pmpp.2023.102032>.
21. Patel, V., Kalita, A., Furtado, K. M., Mor, N., Bhadada, S., Albert, S., Ashraf, H., Balsari, S., Bhushan, I., Chandru, V., Chatterjee, M., Chaturvedi, S., Dharmaraju, R., Gupta, A., Mazumdar-Shaw, K., Menon, G. I., Mukherji, A., Muttreja, P., Nambiar, A., ... Khanna, T. (2026). The Lancet Commission on a citizen-centred health system for India. *The Lancet*, 407(10526), 388–468. [https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/S0140-6736\(25\)02169-5](https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/S0140-6736(25)02169-5).
22. Patidar, A. K., Singh, R. K., & Choudhury, T. (2023). The prominence of carbon capture, utilization and storage technique, a special consideration on India. *Gas Science and Engineering*, 115, 204999. <https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jgsce.2023.204999>.
23. Sabut, A., Bhat, A. M., & Mohapatra, P. K. (2026). Stormwater control measures, design aspects, and impact of climate change – a review. *Water-Energy Nexus*, 9, 46–74. <https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/j.wen.2025.12.001>.
24. Saha, D., Roy, K., Saha, A., Nazir, M., Das, U., & Saha, M. (2026). Sustainable circuit tourism: A path to renewal for India's mining-affected regions. *Environmental Development*, 57, 101388. <https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/j.envdev.2025.101388>.
25. Sharma, A., Singh, S. N., Serratos, M. M., Sahu, D., & Strezov, V. (2025). Urban energy



- transition in smart cities: A comprehensive review of sustainability and innovation. *Sustainable Futures*, 10, 100940. <https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/j.sftr.2025.100940>.
26. Tewari, C., Rawat, K. S., Kim, Y., Arya, T., Dhali, S., Rana, S., Andreeva, D. V, Özyilmaz, B., Mahfouz, R., Qari, N., Jung, Y. C., Sahoo, N. G., & Novoselov, K. S. (2026). Functional nanocarbons from waste plastics for energy storage applications. *Renewable and Sustainable Energy Reviews*, 226, 116443. <https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/j.rser.2025.116443>.
27. Thomas, L., & Kumar, V. V. (2025). Headphones on, world off: exploring music as a tool for mood regulation, mental focus, and learning among teenagers. *Safer Communities*, 25(2), 177–193. <https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1108/SC-05-2025-0035>.